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Public Health
Newborn Screening

• ‘All’ babies are tested
• Cast the net broadly to ensure we capture all babies at risk for having disease
• Try to balance the false positives with the sensitivity of the test
• Missed cases could have devastating outcomes
False Negatives in Newborn Screening

• Public Health Newborn Screening Systems capture most babies with screened diseases in the U.S.

• We are unable to accurately calculate the missed case rate (or 1-sensitivity) because we don’t have systems to collect the data

• We can calculate positive predictive value, and use PPV as a measurement of newborn screening programs effectiveness (see Zuckerman poster)
Real quotes from the newborn screening community

“It is too hard to find false negatives, where are they?”

“We know about the missed cases but I have already closed out my reporting for that year.”

“There aren’t any missed cases for MY screening test…they only really miss kids in CF”

“I follow kids in my clinic who were missed on the newborn screen, but I haven’t had time to call the state to report them.”

“PPV is the best we can do. We can’t report anything else.”
Fishing for the babies with disease

- **False Negative**: Those who have the disease who test negative on the screening test:
  - $c/(a+c)$
  - $1 - \text{sensitivity}$

- **Positive Predictive Value**: The proportion of true positive among all positive tests
  - $a/(a + b)$
  - true positives/(all positives)

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Screening Test</th>
<th>Gold Standard (Disorder +)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>c</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Both depend on ‘a’ – those WITH disease that are identified by the screening test*
Identifying babies with disease
Cast the net broadly – but not too broadly
### Disease Screening Test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Screening Test</th>
<th>Disease</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Calculations

- **False Negatives**
  \[
  \text{False Negatives} = \frac{c}{a+c} = \frac{0}{7+0} = 0\%
  \]

- **PPV**
  \[
  \text{PPV} = \frac{a}{a+b} = \frac{7}{7+993} = 0.7\%
  \]
Identifying babies with disease

Identifying only the babies with the disorder

Table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Screening Test</th>
<th>Disease</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

False Negatives = $\frac{c}{a+c} = \frac{0}{7+0} = 0\%$

PPV = $\frac{a}{a+b} = \frac{7}{7+0} = 100\%$
Identifying babies with disease

Realistic screen – capture MOST of the babies

Disease Screening Test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Screening Test</th>
<th>+</th>
<th>-</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>+ 6</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- 1</td>
<td></td>
<td>943</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

False Negatives =
\[ = \frac{c}{a+c} \]
\[ = \frac{1}{6+1} = 14.3\% \]

PPV = \[ \frac{a}{a+b} = \]
\[ = \frac{6}{6+50} = 10.7\% \]
Identifying babies with disease

PODUNK NBS Program – Wants to maximize PPV

False Negatives = \( \frac{c}{a+c} \)
\[ = \frac{4}{3+4} = 57.1\% \]

PPV = \( \frac{a}{a+b} \)
\[ = \frac{3}{3+0} = 100\% \]
Identifying babies with disease
Realistic screen – capture MOST of the babies

Why was this child missed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Screening Test</th>
<th>Disease</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>943</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

False Negatives =
\[= \frac{c}{a+c}\]
\[= \frac{1}{6+1} = 14.3\%\]

PPV = \[\frac{a}{a+b} = \frac{6}{6+50} = 10.7\%\]
Missed Cases Do Happen

Why are the cases missed?

- True biologic false negative
- Sample mix-ups
- Laboratory errors
- No newborn screen collected

Where can missed cases be found?

- Sub-specialty clinics
- Primary care offices
- Birth defects registries
- Hospital records
- Disease Registries
- Death Certificates
Biologic Examples

Cystic Fibrosis

- Baby with IRT less than the cutoff
- Presents at 6 months of age after struggling with weight gain and respiratory problems.
- Will likely be seen at CF Center – will CF Center report to state?

MCAD (Medium Chain Acyl-CoA dehydrogenase deficiency)

- Well fed or anabolic infants with MCAD may have normal NBS tests.
- Baby may be missed on NBS and if untreated may get sick and die undiagnosed.
- Will the screening program ever know of this baby?
HOW do we solve the problem?

• Awareness of incidence of cases – is the incidence close to what you anticipate?
• Communication SYSTEM with sub-specialty clinics
• Develop relationships with primary care physicians, other state and regional programs
• Search death certificates
Reporting false negatives

• We need a surveillance program with protocols to identify and report false negatives

• Need common definitions
  – WHY were babies missed?
    • Biologic
    • Errors
    • Not screened
Improving newborn screening

- We can only improve newborn screening with all of the information
  - Positive predictive value
  - Missed cases
Thank you to the anonymous public health professionals